

So joyous were the festivities on the 11th that one of the headlines in the *Free Press* the next day claimed that London celebrated for nearly 24 hours.²⁶ The celebrations finally culminated at the end of the week when a Victory Jubilee Carnival was held at the Winter Garden on November 14th and 15th. On both days the Carnival featured the “latest music and newest songs” along with dancing to the strains of the Princess Ten-Piece Orchestra. All participants were urged to attend in costume and on the last day a “rousing grand finale” was held during which \$5 cash prizes were given for the best costumes in the following categories: comic, fancy, character, and historic.

The Winter Garden was thronged on Thursday night (November 14th)...The costumes far surpassed anything seen on carnival nights in the Winter Garden previously. The judges at the grand march to-night (November 15th) will have a hard task selecting the winners as hundreds were present and many new ones will be on hand when the judging is commended.²⁷

In an announcement of the event, the *Free Press* stated that the largest prizes would be awarded to those who wore the most interesting and the most fitting costumes for the occasion. Fifteen dollars would go to the best representation of General Foch, \$10 prizes would be given for the best representation of Lloyd George, General Joffre, General Pershing, King Victor Emanuel and General Haig.²⁸ To ensure that a large number of Londoner’s would take up this challenge, it was also claimed that “there are men who look enough like one of these great men that only a little make-up would be necessary to win the prize.”

Aftermath

Although the celebrations in London on November 11th were a huge success, a number of uncertainties still remained that needed to be addressed. As mentioned briefly above, these ranged from how to repay Canada’s massive war debt, how demobilization would take place, and how to treat the draft deserters and draft defaulters that resulted from the passage of the Military Service Act of 1917. There were also concerns over whether to continue to print casualty lists in the papers, how to care for the wounded who would be returning home, how best to memorialize those who lost their lives during the war, and finally, how future armistice days should be celebrated. Each of these matters will be discussed separately below.

War Debt and Bond Drives

The following material is from the official history of the Canadian Army in the first world war by Nicholson (1962, p. 359-361).²⁹

When on 1 August 1914 Canada tentatively offered to provide an overseas contingent in the event of war, the Dominion Government had suggested making “all necessary financial provision” for the “equipment, pay and maintenance” of such a force. The British Government agreed to the suggestion...Formal

discussions between the two governments opened in March 1915, with the Canadians insisting that they defray the “entire cost in every particular of their own contingents”...It specified that Canada provide the pay, allowances and pensions of her troops and defray the cost of transporting them and material to the United Kingdom...In addition to paying the actual cost of all supplies and stores issued in Great Britain, Canada would reimburse the British Government for transportation of Canadian troops and material on British railways...At the same time the cost of maintaining Canadian forces in France was considered...The War Office proposed a rate of six shillings per man per day. Of this amount, five shillings was reckoned as the average cost of maintaining a British soldier in the field. It took into account rations, forage, fuel, clothing, and stores of all kinds; all ammunition except artillery; the replacement of small arms, guns, horses, mules, mechanical transport and wagons; and the transportation of troops from England to France and rail transportation on the Continent.

In a very short time the British Government found it necessary to request a revision. On 2 March 1917 the War Office informed the Canadian High Commissioner that the one-shilling rate for artillery ammunition was unrealistic. Consumption by Canadian artillery units had risen from one shilling a day to 6s. 7d. for the three-month period of July to September 1916 (which include the Battle of the Somme with its tremendous artillery programmes). Sir George Perley was told that Australia and New Zealand had agreed to a revised rate of payment, and he asked that Canada should not only increase its capitation rate for artillery ammunition, but that the new rate should be made retroactive to 4 November 1916...There were prolonged negotiations between the two governments before settlement was reached ...on 15 August 1919 the War Office was informed that Canada would accept these accounts as representing her “financial share of the cost of ammunition expended in France from March 2nd, 1917, to November 11th, 1918. The Canadian refusal to antedate to November 1916 the revision of the capitation rates was a matter of some regret to the War Office...Altogether for the maintenance of her forces overseas (including expenditures for artillery ammunition) Canada (had agreed to pay) the British Government \$252, 567, 942.03.

In addition to the money owed to the British Government, Canada had a large number of other war expenses which was estimated to be around \$1,680,000,000.³⁰ How did the Dominion expect to raise sufficient funds to repay this debt?

Prior to 1914 the Dominion obtained revenue largely from customs and excise duties. During the war funds were raised through taxes imposed on telegrams, money orders, etc., and staples such as tea and coffee. Then in 1916 all Canadian corporations having \$50,000 or more in capital were required to file a yearly tax return and on September 20, 1917 the government received permission to levy a personal income tax of 4% on all income that exceeded \$1500 in the case of unmarried persons and widows or widowers without dependent children. For all others their income needed to exceed \$3000. These personal income tax amounts are quite interesting because the average salary in Canada in 1917 for supervisory and office employees in manufacturing was \$1,315 and for production workers it was \$760 which meant that very few citizens, other than the wealthy, would have been expected to pay any income tax (see Brown and Cook, 1974, Chapter 12, for a more complete discussion of this matter).³¹

Because the Canadian debt continued to grow, and because these various sources of revenue were insufficient to meet this growing debt, it was necessary to develop other means for raising funds. The method selected was to sell war bonds, an idea which was introduced and promoted in the following way by William Thomas White, the Canadian Minister of Finance.

The statement by the Hon. W. T. White....that Canada is growing richer despite the war should be welcome news to business interests and the public in general. He added that the country would be able to finance the war expenditures and take care of domestic expenditures after the war. For the first time in its history, the exports from Canada surpassed the imports. There should be no pessimism as to business when such a state of affairs is shown to exist, and when the time comes for the floating of a domestic loan (through war bonds) the country should respond with a spirit that will indicate the country's ability to be self-dependent financially, at least to a certain degree.³²

The 1915 and 1916 Bond Drives

On November 21, 1915, Canada launched its first war bond drive in the form of an initial issue of \$50,000,000 in \$100, \$500, and \$1000 denominations. The money was to be used by the Canadian government toward the purchase of Canadian goods and supplies to be shipped overseas. The bond sale ended on November 30th. To enhance the attractiveness of the issue, the bonds were sold at 2 ½ % below par, paid 5% annual interest, matured on December 1, 1925, and could be redeemed at par if held to maturity. All that was needed to purchase a bond was 10% down with the remainder due in roughly equal amounts through May 1, 1916.

On November 30th the Minister of Finance announced that the campaign had been a tremendous success in that sales had exceeded one hundred million dollars, which of course, was \$50 million more than the original issue. In London alone the results showed that the Northern Life Insurance Company purchased \$105,000, Huron and Erie purchased \$150,000, and London Life bought \$100,000 worth of bonds. The *Advertiser* even reported that "A widow of the city has asked for \$25,000, and there are many subscriptions of from \$1,000 to \$5,000."³³

In view of these results it is not surprising that a similar campaign was launched 1916. This time the bonds, with a maturity date of October 1, 1931 and terms similar to those used in 1915, were on sale from September 11 through September 23. Although the government initially had hoped to sell \$100,000,000 worth of bonds, once again sales exceeded expectations. Two days after the campaign ended, the government reported that sales had reached \$180,000,000.³⁴

Why were these campaigns so successful? Although very limited advertising took place during either campaign and neither appealed to patriotic fervor, instead both catered largely to the purchasers' self-interest.

While the Government is aware that Canadian patriotic sentiment alone could be depended upon to insure success, strict regard has been had in fixing the terms of the issue to prevailing financial conditions, with the object of making the offering attractive from a purely financial investment standpoint.³⁵

For instance, if an individual with a yearly salary of around \$1,200 had purchased a \$500 bond, the bond would yield an annual return of \$30 which would exceed the person's weekly pay. For the widow who purchased \$25,000 worth of bonds, her yearly income would be \$1,250. In both cases the amounts were guaranteed by the government as long as the owner continued to hold the bond.

But why did the government not also appeal to patriotic fervor? To answer this question it may be helpful to consider the Canadian view of the war through 1916. When the war began in August 1914, it was assumed that it would not last very long and indeed reports in London's papers throughout 1915 and 1916 certainly suggested that despite Germany's best efforts, the Allies were winning and that the war would indeed be over soon. The following two reports appeared in the *Advertiser* in 1916 only three days apart.

The damage to the enemy's moral is probably of greater consequence than the capture of dominant positions and the capture of between 4,000 and 5,000 prisoners. To date, since the 1st of July, the British forces alone on the Somme front have met and engaged 35 German divisions, of which 29 have already been defeated and withdrawn exhausted. During the past week in the Belgium area only four aeroplanes have been reported as crossing our lines, while our machines have made between 2,000 and 3,000 flights across the enemy's lines.³⁶

Germany will set another peace kite flying about the middle of October, British officialdom both military and civil confidently expects. Recent apparently well-authenticated rumors have reached London that Germany, suffering huge losses because of the recent allied offensive, is endeavoring to suggest an armistice through Spain or the United States.³⁷

If the war were to be over soon, an aggressive sales campaign in 1915/1916 might not have been considered necessary. The government could have been sufficiently confident that the funds already available were sufficient to support the fighting in Europe until the end occurred. By 1917, however, the war had now entered its third year and, with the passage of the Military Service Act in August that called for conscription, it had now become abundantly clear that the war was not about to end soon and that the need for money had grown.

The 1917 Bond Drive

In 1917 the drive was referred to as a Victory Bond Drive with a focus solely on patriotism and with a substantial effort devoted to extremely aggressive advertising and canvassing (the patriotic and emotionally charged nature of this advertising is illustrated in the Appendix). In London the campaign was inaugurated on November 1st with the sale of bonds scheduled to begin on November 12th and last three weeks. The goal for Canada this time was

\$300,000,000 and London's initial goal was set at \$3,000,000.³⁸ To achieve success at the local level an organizing meeting was held in London on November 2nd during which various committees were established.


The publicity committee will be divided into six parts...There will be a committee appointed to issue statements to the press. Another group will have charge of posters, street car advertising, etc. There will also be a committee to arrange for speakers and meetings in the churches, theatres, or halls...A "local stunt" committee will be formed (to provide) slogans, noise, lights, or anything that will help to bring the Victory Loan before the people...the fifth sub-committee will interview merchants regarding the need for the setting aside of space in their advertisements for Victory Loan matter...The last committee, to look after the schools, is considered a most important one by Major Ingram. It is expected that the children will take an important part in helping to raise London's share of the loan.³⁹

What was this loan to be used for? The answer to this question was expressed in the following announcement that appeared in the *Advertiser* well before the bonds even went on sale.

The purpose of Canada's Victory Bond issue is two-fold: First, to maintain and support the Canadian army; second, to enable Great Britain to purchase in Canada those supplies which she must have to carry on the war and to provide food for the civilian population at home. Canada has in both those purposes an irresistibly impelling interest. Canada's patriotism demands that our soldiers lack nothing in food, clothing, guns or service to win the war. And, Canada's own self-interest demands that production shall increase, that commercial activity shall continue, that wages shall be maintained. What is more—Canada's self interest in this case is also patriotic duty—for we must maintain conditions of material well-being in Canada in order to sustain a maximum of war effort. Great Britain's market offers a sure welcome to the products of Canada's fields, mines, fisheries and factories. But Great Britain must have credit to buy these products. So in order to provide the necessary credit the Minister of Finance borrows from the people of Canada, by means of Victory Bonds, the proceeds of which will be used to establish that credit. You, and each of us—up to the greatest sum in our ability to invest; up to the point where it hurts; must all buy Victory Bonds.⁴⁰

In short, although the aim of the 1917 campaign was said to be two fold (to benefit the Canadian troops overseas, and to establish a line of credit for Great Britain), in view of the space allotted to these aims in this announcement, it would seem that the latter was far more important than the former. In this regard, consider the caption and the message by Lloyd George in the ad on the next page that appeared in the *Advertiser*⁴¹ on November 23rd, and couple this material with the fact that the United States had not only already established a \$500,000,000 line of credit war bond to enable Britain and France to purchase all of their necessary war supplies from America⁴² but also had loaned Great Britain \$1, 860,000,000.⁴³ Clearly, unless Canada was willing to forego its own prosperity at home and at the same time allow the United States to fully underwrite the Monarchy's overall war expenses, it needed to engage in financial arrangements similar to those that already had been undertaken by the United States.

In early November London's team captains were chosen and instructions were given to the canvassers in preparation for the November 12th launch of the bond drive.⁴⁴ Even before that date, however, the Huron and Erie Mortgage Corporation and the Canada Trust Company had jointly purchased \$2,000,000 worth of bonds and it was anticipated that an additional \$1,000,000 in sales would be forthcoming from London Life. With these sums in mind, it was estimated that London could very well raise as much as \$6,500,000 by the end of the campaign.⁴⁵



"The next solacing fact is this: That most of this debt will be a debt we owe to ourselves. Great Britain is borrowing in the main from her own children. The debt is in the family . . . and the more we lend the less will Great Britain owe to others. And that is the most important fact in our national security and national wealth."

— Premier Lloyd George
in Albert Hall, London,
October 22nd last.

**Happy will be the Nation which
Owes its War Debts to
its Own People**

THE interest on Canada's war debt is now about \$25,000,000 a year.

If that interest is paid in Canada to the Canadian people it will go back into circulation in Canada, instead of being sent out to foreign creditors.

Likewise when the principal comes due it will be kept in Canada for re-investment and thus will aid in the development of the nation and its resources.

And that is a most important fact in Canada's national security following the war, just as it is important to Great Britain's National security that her war debt shall be owed to her own children, when the war is over.

So when you buy Victory Bonds you not only directly help Canada to fight the war but you contribute to the national security after the war.

On the launch date the government issued \$150,000,000 worth of bonds in \$50, \$100, \$500, and \$1,000 denominations with a tax free interest rate of 5 ½% and maturity dates of 1922, 1927, and 1937. The *London Advertiser* announced the opening of the London bond drive on the first page of its November 12th paper in the following words.

With every noise-making device in the city in full swing the drive on the pocket books of the citizens began sharp at 9 o'clock this morning. The church bells were ringing, whistles blowing, the fire wagons tearing the ozone with fearful sounds from their sirens. In brief, the city was covered with a regular barrage of noise such as possibly has not been heard in recent years. ⁴⁶

Although the focus of the campaign was on the establishment of a line of credit for Great Britain, the theme in the ads that appeared almost daily was on personal patriotism and on the need to support the fighting men in Europe.



**This Is YOUR War
As Well As His**

NO "slacker." he—he enlists and gives his life to defend you who cannot go. He fights for you and your family as well as his. He has faith in you. He believes you, as well as your rich neighbor, will at least offer your dollars just as he is offering his life.

The boys on the firing line must have guns and ammunition. They must be fed and clothed. They must have supplies without end—without stint.

It is part of your duty—whether you give assistance in other ways or not—to help in the great task of providing the sinews of war. That can be done only with money—millions and millions of money.

Enlist now in the Army of Bond Buyers and lend your money to the Government at 5½ per cent interest. It's the same as depositing your funds in a savings bank, except that when you buy a Victory Bond you are *investing in Canada*, and your money works for your country as well as for yourself.

Remember, the man at the front does not offer one-tenth of his life to his country—he *offers it all!* What percentage of your worldly goods are you going to *lend* your country?

Buy a Victory Bond Today

This space is contributed to
Canada's Victory Loan by

SMALLMAN/BERAN

Because the bonds were sold by application, it was not necessary for individuals to pay the full price at the time of purchase. To illustrate the widespread acceptance of the drive, five days after the campaign began a column appeared in the *Advertiser* with comments received from several of the canvassers.⁴⁷

M.J. Abbot told of one woman with a soft heart. She gave her bond to a friend who was almost down and out, and bought another.

J.A. Croden took nineteen applications in one block on Grand Avenue Friday.

A call came into headquarters Friday night from a C.P.R. man asking that a canvasser be sent to his house as soon as possible to make out a \$500 application.

After making a sale to the lady of the house, the salesman asked to see the maid. "I don't think that she has any money, but you can see," said the lady. The salesman did, and signed the housemaid up for a \$500 bond.

How successful was the campaign? On December 4, 1917, which was several days after the campaign was over, the "Victory Loan headquarters announced that London has gained its (final) objective of \$6,500,000 (and)...The county shot into the two million (dollar) class, justifying the statement often made that Middlesex is the banner county of Ontario..." (The county sold a total of \$2,075,450).⁴⁸

The 1918 Bond Drive

In 1918 an even more aggressive campaign was implemented. The drive began on October 28th and ended on November 18th with the government printing 2,500,000 bonds in the same denominations as before and at the same interest rate.⁴⁹ The quota for London was now elevated to the purchase of \$7,300,000 worth of bonds.⁵⁰

To ensure success a unique element was built into the 1918 drive. Each municipality that achieved its quota would now be awarded a large Honour Flag to be mounted in a prominent location in front of its municipal office building. If it surpassed its quota by 25% a crown would be added to the flag, and for every additional 25%, a further crown would be added. To capitalize on the competitive nature of the drive a number of firms promoted sales by stressing these goals in their ads. For example, the Fidelity Trust Company of Ontario asked in a local ad that they sponsored, "How many crowns shall we have on our Honour Flag?"⁵¹ and in an ad sponsored by Grafton & Co., Ltd. the firm made the following statement combined with appropriate military terminology.

It is up to each of us individually and to all of us collectively. We have to get that Honour Flag and get it quickly...The Honour Flag will fly with more than two crowns on it if we all do our part and go OVER THE TOP TOGETHER.⁵²

In keeping with the competitive nature of the campaign, both newspapers often reported the number of bonds sold throughout Ontario as well as across Canada. Furthermore, The *Free Press* claimed early in the campaign that “London’s Victory Loan organization and bond salesmen will keep right on working up to late tonight, no Saturday half-holiday prevailing for the Victory Loan. Confidence is expressed that the first week of the campaign will close with at least one-half of London’s quota raised.”⁵³ Special canvassers were recruited to visit firms that were expected to purchase more than \$5,000 worth of bonds and to visit all military personal stationed at Carling Heights. Enlisted men were expected to purchase \$50 bonds while officers were asked to purchase \$150 worth of bonds. In addition, owners of the various London industries were asked to “encourage their employees by financing their purchases and allowing the money to be paid back (to the firm) in ten installments if necessary.”⁵⁴ Even street car conductors were “asked to remind the people that now is the time to buy Victory Bonds when they call out the name of the streets...(and) Guests in hotels who leave calls for an early morning wakeup (were to be) greeted thusly: “Good morning, Mr. Johnston, have you bought your Victory Bonds. It is now 7:15.”⁵⁵ Needless to say, messages such as these given throughout the campaign strongly encouraged people to purchase bonds to the limit of their capacity.

What caused this change in focus between 1917 and 1918? Because the war was nearly over by October 28, which was when the 1918 drive began, and sales ended one week after the November 11th Armistice was declared, the concluding portion of the 1918 campaign had a decidedly different orientation as outlined in an ad that appeared on November 6th.⁵⁶

After fighting ceases it will cost hundreds of millions of dollars to maintain Canada’s soldiers until they can be demobilized.

Canada may have to keep her quota of men in occupied enemy territory.

Canada will have to transport her army home.

All this will have to be financed through the Victory Loan 1918. So whether or not Germany accepts the Allies’ terms, the Victory Loan 1918 must be subscribed—and over-subscribed. Nothing less than this will enable Canada to complete her war effort and to maintain her agricultural and industrial prosperity.

All of these critical factors were succinctly summarized by the *Free Press* in an article published on November 12th.⁵⁷

1. To bring the boys home.
2. To maintain them in Europe till peace is firmly established.
3. To maintain our industrial activities and provide new employment for munition workers and soldiers as they return.
4. To continue our loan to Britain for buying Canadian food.
5. To enable Canadian workers to get their rightful share of orders for rebuilding Belgium and France.

In short, in 1918 funds were no longer required to establish a line of credit for Great Britain. Instead, money was now needed to pay for transportation “to bring the boys home,” to pay for the support of the Canadian troops who would be needed to keep the peace in Europe, and finally, to support Canadian industry and to ensure that adequate employment opportunities would exist to meet the needs of those who soon would return from overseas.

To illustrate the level of success associated with this change in emphasis, by mid-November Middlesex County had already exceeded its quota through sales that totaled \$1,300,900 and London itself had sold \$5,915,400 worth of bonds.⁵⁸ When the campaign finally closed at midnight on November 18th, London’s sales had reached \$9,087,100 and the county had achieved a total of \$2,400,000 in sales.

Hence, in 1918, the overall sales clearly exceeded the sales generated in 1917. In commenting on the outcome of this campaign, it is not surprising that the Campaign Chairman remarked that “This only justifies my faith in the citizens of London (and Middlesex)...I knew that they were as loyal and patriotic as any in Canada, and they have proved this in a greater measure than I believed possible.”⁵⁹

Finally, and to return to the question raised at the beginning of this section, how did the Government expect to honour its overall debt of \$1,680,000,000 and now expect to honour its further annual interest obligations to those who purchased bonds in 1915, 1916, 1917, and 1918? In 1917, for instance, it was estimated that the interest on the bonds was about \$25,000,000 each year and in 1918 the yearly interest was estimated to be more than \$37,000,000.⁶⁰ In both cases since many of the bonds could be held for as long as 15 to 20 years, this meant that the total debt, over time, could nearly double in size! The answer to this question was given in a speech to the House of Commons on February 15, 1916 by the Minister of Finance.

We are justified in placing upon posterity the greater portion of the financial burden of this war, waged as it is in the interests of human freedom, and their benefit is equal if not in greater degree than our own...Canada in future years of peace, with the prosperity which will be her heritage from the development of unbounded resources, will be able to meet the interest and sinking fund charges upon such debt as we shall be obligated to incur in defense of our country and its liberties (Brown and Cook, 1974, p. 230).⁶¹

The Minister’s views were subsequently supported by the *London Advertiser*.

Victory bond financing spreads the repayment of the bonds to the rising generation and the next generation so that this generation which is doing all the fighting, suffering most of the privations caused by the war, will not have to do all the paying. Generations yet unborn will reap the harvest of freedom this generation is fighting for and it is only fair that a portion of the burden of paying the tremendous cost should be borne by the future beneficiaries.⁶²

Needless to say, although clearly necessitated by the growing war-time debt, the government may have been forced to take a very different approach than is typically the case today over whether it is appropriate to burden future generations with the current government's financial obligations.

Demobilization

Shortly after hostilities ended there were three issues associated with demobilization that received considerable attention in the local press. The first had to do with when the troops would be coming home, the second focused on the order in which they would be discharged and the third revolved around how they would be honoured when they arrived. The day after the armistice was declared Ottawa outlined a general demobilization policy with the following provisions. An index system was prepared that contained the name of every man, his marital status, how long he had served in the military and his occupation prior to enlistment. The men were then ranked according to each of these variables. Preference for departure from Europe was given, first, to married men, second to their length of service overseas, and third, "to farmers, railroad men, and others who may be speedily absorbed by the labor demand on their return."⁶³

Although the *Free Press* had endorsed the part of this approach that concerned married men,⁶⁴ by early December the overall approach had met with considerable criticism by a number of senior military officers who were called together to discuss the government's proposal. News of this meeting was in a letter published by the *Advertiser* from Major-General E. W. Morrison of the Canadian Artillery, who summarized the government's plan in the following way.

They (the married men) were to be segregated in a big camp and divided up according to trade – butchers, bakers, candlestick makers, bartenders, farmers, etc., and then the trades most required were to go home first. After them the bachelors would be dealt with in the same way. In other words, the people of Canada would never see the corps of which they have heard so much. All they would see would be drafts of farmers and bartenders and any other old thing, who would be delivered to Canada at the rate of 2,000 a day, extending over a period of six months...

Instead, according to General Morrison, the proper approach to demobilization would be to enable the men to return home according to seniority of divisions, not by seniority of individuals or trades. In other words, the units should go home under their own officers and "be marched through the cities from which they came, so that the people of Canada may have an opportunity of seeing the men and the regiments and batteries who have fought for them for the last three or four years."⁶⁵

It was Morrison's approach that eventually was accepted by the Government. Beginning in November, 1918, the troops arrived in Canada either according to unit or destination, although destination seems to have taken precedent. On November 30, 1918, of the 115 soldiers who docked in Canada, 33 were destined for London independent of unit⁶⁶ and on December 4th 38 more arrived at the London C.P.R. terminal also independent of unit.⁶⁷ The numbers then began to increase logarithmically. On December 13th 647 soldiers landed and 198 were destined for southwestern Ontario and on December 14th the White Star liner *Olympia* arrived at Halifax with 5,207 returning soldiers with 433 men destined for the London area.⁶⁸

Because London, like many other cities in Canada, wished to honour its returning veterans, on December 16, 1918, Alderman Frank E. Harley, moved "that a suitable decoration be placed on Richmond Street, facing the G.T.R. and C.P.R depots for the return of our soldiers.."69 It wasn't until April 2nd, however, that City Council was asked to consider a motion regarding the nature of the decoration.⁷⁰ It was also around this time, at least as far as London was concerned, that the unit became more important than the destination.

On April 12th Council received word that the "1st Battalion of the famous first division of the Canadian Expeditionary Force will arrive in the City" later that month. Because the 1st Battalion was organized in Military District No. 1, which had its headquarters in London and was scheduled to be discharged in London, that plans to honour this unit were developed and submitted to Council. The plans called for a large victory arch.⁷¹ The arch (shown on the next page) was subsequently erected by Smallman and Ingram in a location that, no doubt, was beneficial to their business, i.e., "across Richmond street opposite the Richmond street entrance to the firm's premises."⁷²

Council was further informed on April 14th that the men from the 1st Battalion, transported from Europe to Canada on the troopship *Olympia*, would probably arrive in London on Tuesday the 22nd or Wednesday the 23rd. On April 22nd the *Free Press* listed the names and home addresses of all the men from Branford, Chatham, Galt, Guelph, Ingersoll, Kitchener, London, Sarnia, Stratford, and Windsor who would be on the *Olympia* and who were destined to arrive in London. The London list alone contained 62 names.⁷³ The *Olympia* landed in Halifax on April 21st and the battalion was scheduled to arrive by train in London at the Grand Trunk Railway terminal at 10:00 a.m. on April 24.⁷⁴

Prior to the train's arrival extensive preparations had been made for a welcoming parade and reception, now planned for April 24th. The local papers also published a request for volunteers. In particular, people with cars were needed to bring hospitalized soldiers to the parade, to drive the returning local soldiers and their families to their homes and to deliver soldiers who were not from London back to the train station to continue their trip to other locations such as Windsor.

To ensure that an adequate crowd would attend the parade the following announcement together with an outline of the planned celebration appeared on April 22nd in the *Free Press*.

Whereas the Council of the City of London has by resolution requested me to issue a proclamation declaring that the day the 1st Battalion returns shall be a Public Holiday for the reception of the battalion. These presents are to make known to the citizens that Thursday, the 24th day of April, 1919, is hereby declared a public holiday and I request all persons in the City of London to observe the day as a public holiday, and to give their assistance in extending a welcome to the men returning. Ratepayers along the line of march are particularly requested to decorate their premises. It is (further) requested that citizens will refrain from throwing talcum or other powder during the celebration.



The 1st Battalion, Canadian Expeditionary Force, will arrive in London, via the Grand Trunk Railway at 10 a.m. on Thursday, April 24, and will detrain at the Richmond Street station. The column will march to Carling's Heights via Richmond, Dundas, Adelaide, Rattle, Elizabeth and Oxford streets. The following units will be formed up in line of battalions in mass on York street, facing south between Richmond and Clarence streets, time 10 a.m.; the Great War Veterans on the left, (previously) returned men of the 1st

Battalion in the center, and the 1st Battalion, Canadian Expeditionary Force on the right. The starting point will be the corner of York and Richmond streets. A small detachment of police, mounted and dismounted, to move at the head of the column.⁷⁵

Around 200 people were present to greet the train as it arrived at the station and all along the parade route were throngs of people waving flags and cheering as the soldiers passed by. Once the military procession neared Dundas, it proceeded through the arch then passed the armouries on Dundas, “where the command ‘eyes right’ rang out as each unit came within 10 paces of the saluting point followed by the command ‘eyes left’ when ten paces past,” it then turned north on Adelaide and proceeded to Carling’s Heights (later known as Wolseley Barracks)⁷⁶ The Salvation Army band, the band of the 7th Hussars, the juvenile piper band, and the Marconi Club band, accompanied the procession to provide appropriate military decorum.

On the arrival of the column at Carling’s Heights the 1st Battalion, Canadian Expeditionary Force, formed up in the enclosure in front of the platform facing east, the (previously) returned men of the same battalion on their left. The Great War Veterans Association then formed up in the rear of the center. At Carling’s Heights brief speeches of welcome were made by the mayor and Rev. H.B. Ashby and Rev. Bryon Snell... (After the speeches) the ropes of the enclosure were cut and the relatives and friends streamed in to see their loved ones... after which the men dined and then passed through the discharge depot...There were many gripping scenes, as mother, father, brother, sister, wife and sweetheart greeted their boys just home from foreign lands, home from the battle-scarred fields of France and Flanders.⁷⁷

Treatment of Defaulters and Deserters

In addition to honouring the returning soldiers, both papers devoted considerable space to a closely related question, namely how to handle the men who had shirked their military obligations during the war. To understand the issues that were of concern here, it is necessary to briefly review the Military Service Act of 1917 and its impact on Canada (for a full discussion of this matter see Nicholson, 1962, pp. 215-222). During the early years of the war voluntary enlistments were sufficient to meet the government’s initial commitment to raise 250,000 men to fight in Europe. By the end of 1915, and in view of the number of casualties that the Canadian Expeditionary Force had experienced, it had become evident that a larger number of troops was required. Hence, on January 1, 1916, Prime Minister Borden increased that goal to 500,000. By December 1916, however, it had also become apparent, at least in some quarters, that this new goal would be difficult to achieve through voluntary enlistments alone and that some form of compulsory enlistment mechanism needed to be implemented. To this end, on June 11, 1917 the Prime Minister introduced the Military Service Bill which called for conscription. Despite considerable opposition, the Bill became an official act on August 29th and required all unmarried or widowed men between the ages of 20 and 34 years (designated as Class I men) to register for the draft by November 10th (Nicholson, 1962, p. 344). Although at the end of the war the government’s figures showed that approximately 400,000 men had

registered, it was hoped that of this number, at least 100,000 would become available through conscription to top up the number normally gained through voluntary enlistments alone hereby enabling the Government to reach this new goal of 500,000.

One of the major problems in enforcing the Military Service Act was that of dealing with the many defaulters. These included men who failed to register, or who defaulted on a subsequent order to report for medical examination or for military duty, or became deserters. Nearly 28,000 men in Class I were offenders in one of these respects...when hostilities ended there were still some 20,000 Class I men who had neither reported nor had been apprehended (Nicholson, 1962, p. 352).

Because these men had disobeyed the law, shortly after November 11, 1918, there was much discussion in London's papers concerning how best to deal with them. Throughout the later part of December, 1918, and during the early months of 1919 answers gradually became available. Several articles in the *Free Press* suggested that fines in the order of \$500 were being imposed on those who had been identified and arrested as defaulters.⁷⁸ The government had also considered taking away their right to vote and even posting their names on public buildings.⁷⁹ The deserters, on the other hand, when captured had received jail sentences. The *Advertiser* reported the case of one deserter who received a six month jail term⁸⁰ while the *Free Press* reported the case of another deserter who received a two year jail term.⁸¹ Moreover, the acting minister of justice was quite explicit with regard to both of these infractions when he stated that "there is no amnesty in respect of offences committed against the Military Service Act and that the policy of the Government to prosecute these offenders rigorously remains steadfast and in full effect."⁸²

Despite such harsh language, the government's overall view on these matters gradually began to change. In April, 1919, General Sam Hughes "expressed the opinion that there should be a uniform system of administering the law with uniform penalties in regard to defaulters and deserters." Because Hughes expressed this view in Parliament, his remark to penalize both provoked an extremely negative response from the Opposition.

The sympathy of the Opposition towards the defaulter (and deserter) was evident and every appeal for mercy and leniency was received with applause from the left of the Speaker. D.D. MacKenzie, Opposition leader, pleaded that Canadians were not used to war. Many young men lacked nerve and fiber to fight. They were thus not criminals in defaulting or deserting. They should not be treated as such. He urged that clemency should be shown.⁸³

In keeping with the Opposition's point of view, on December 21, 1919, the Government released the following statement which held that because the war was now over and peace was at hand, both of these offences should be forgiven. As stated in the Government's own words:

...in view of the restoration of peace and for the general purposes of (the) reestablishment (of peace), that amnesty should be graciously extended to all such military offenders so that those now undergoing imprisonment may be discharged; so that pending prosecution of the offenders aforesaid may be

discontinued, and so that all offenders heretofore committed and the penalties incurred and not actually enforced and paid shall be generally pardoned, forgiven and remitted...the greatest relief will be given by the amnesty to offenders against the act who have not been apprehended, but who have been sought for by the authorities.⁸⁴

This statement is extremely interesting since, during the years prior to the implementation of conscription, men who did not voluntarily join the military without a valid excuse were typically viewed as “slackers, or as shirkers and malingerers” and were the target of the “public’s opprobrium” (Dennis, 2017, p. 7).⁸⁵ In fact when conscription was introduced the government even provided an opportunity for those who would be conscripted to voluntarily enlist prior to being “forced” to join the military in order to avoid being looked upon as malingerers once they entered the service. As an example of how this attitude was expressed at the local level, E.T. Essery, Chairman of the I.O.D.E made the following remarks during a recruitment drive in 1917 at the Majestic Theatre in London.

What will the man be thought of after the war is over who has never offered to enlist? He will feel like crawling in a hole and well he may feel like that...About half of the young men of this city will not look a recruiting officer in the face. They have the guilty conscience, and they know it...You can enlist either for overseas or home defense, and it is your duty to do one or the other...⁸⁶

Because this negative attitude toward the non-volunteer was so widespread throughout the war, it would seem that as soon as the government announced a general pardon for both defaulters and deserters, there would be widespread public protest. Although this may have been the true elsewhere, such was not the case in London. After the amnesty announcement appeared in the *Advertiser*, every issue of the paper was reviewed throughout the remainder of the month for letters of protest as well as for negative editorial comments. None were found. Equally striking was the absence of any response from the local Great War Veteran’s organization. Perhaps even more striking, however, was the fact that the *Free Press* did not even mention the government’s desire to issue amnesty. Hence it would appear that for Londoners the question of forgiveness in the case of both defaulters and deserters was a non-issue.

While the reason for this lack of interest is not clear, two possible answers come to mind. The first may have had to do with the number of defaulters and deserters who were from the local area. A list of men charged as defaulters under the Military Service Act had been made available to those responsible for handling the affairs of Military District 1. According to the military officer in charge of this district, “there are probably no more than three and at the outside not more than five men belonging to this district (who would) benefit from such an (amnesty) order.”⁸⁷ The situation with regard to the deserters was quite similar in that the official figures showed there were only ten deserters from London who were still at large.⁸⁸ In essence, since the numbers in both cases were extremely small, the issue of amnesty might not

have been considered sufficiently important for Londoners to bother with. It is also possible that because the amnesty proclamation was issued on December 21st, many Londoners might have been reluctant to spoil the Christmas holidays for area families whose relatives had defaulted or deserted and had been pardoned for their actions.

Continued Publication of Casualty Lists

Nearly 60,000 Canadians had lost their lives during the course of the war and another 173,000 had been wounded. Casualty lists bearing the names of those from the local area frequently appeared throughout the war in London's papers and were especially pronounced after each of the major battles. For example, approximately one month following the battle of Vimy Ridge the *London Advertiser* reported the names of nine men from the surrounding area who had been killed, twelve others who had been wounded, and four more who had died from their wounds.⁸⁹

Even though all fighting was over as of 11 o'clock on November 11, 1918, and many Londoners no doubt had hoped to put the war behind them, the public continued to be reminded of the horrific toll that the war had imposed on the local community through casualty lists that appeared days and even weeks following November 11th. On November 15th the list contained 34 names, on November 18th 12 more were added followed by 11 others on November 19th. In fact, even as late as April 7, 1919, the *Free Press* ran a column labeled "Fresh Casualties Issued at Ottawa."⁹⁰ What must have been especially troublesome to local readers, however, were words such as "wastage" that sometimes appeared in the press to describe the human carnage that had taken place on the western front.⁹¹

Although none of these casualties occurred after November 11, 1918, why did the lists still appear in the paper? Several reasons were given by the *London Advertiser*. The first had to do with the fact that because the lists of those killed or wounded were quite large and had to be submitted from overseas by telegraph, there was often a considerable delay in transmission. Indeed, on November 16, 1918, the acting director of the *Advertiser* even stated that the paper expected to receive more names and it was felt that this backlog needed to be disposed of. The headline to the article ("Grief that comes in casualty lists to soon be ended"), however, did express the view that the paper was mindful of the fact that the continuous printing of the lists might have been painful to many of its readers.

With the advent of peace it will relieve the suspense of many people in Canada to know that the casualty lists often weeks behind are tapering off to the end. There are numerous tragic cases, where, since the celebration of peace, news has come of men previously killed in action. We are not exactly certain, but our advices indicate that about five hundred more are to be cabled.⁹²

A second, and perhaps an even more telling reason, had to do with the procedure followed by the government when making the names on the casualty lists public. The usual procedure was to notify the next of kin as soon as possible after reports had been received from the field. Once this was accomplished, newspapers were then allowed to print the names.

Unfortunately, this procedure was not always successful. The *London Advertiser* in May, 1917, recounted an instance when “after the next of kin, a father, was notified, his wife, who was away from home (at the time the notice arrived), saw the death of her son reported in the paper before he (the father) could notify her.”⁹³ Because the wife was extremely upset at receiving the news of her son in this fashion, the father complained to Ottawa.

As the result of his complaint, the practice of publishing local names was discontinued. In commenting on this matter, the *Advertiser* informed its readers that while “casualty lists, embracing the whole of Canada, continues to be received at this office, and while the (local) names cannot be printed, the *Advertiser* preserves the lists for some time, and will be glad to permit anyone to consult the lists.” The *Advertiser* then stated that local names would be printed if the family agreed to their release. With this offer in mind, it could be that many families in the London area, after receiving an official notice from the government, might have wanted distant friends and relatives to be informed of the status of their sons and therefore requested that their names be published.

Caring for the Wounded

Between August, 1914 and November, 1918, 614,580 men served in the Canadian Expeditionary Force. By the end of the war 59,544 (9.6%) had either been killed or died of illness while 172,950 (28.1%) had been listed as suffering from non-fatal battle casualties, or other injuries (Nicholson, 1962, p. 546 & 548). On November 27, 1918, the *London Advertiser* carried the following message.

Fear is expressed in England that Canada is scarcely ready for the eight thousand Canadians still in hospitals in France, and the forty thousand in hospitals in England. These 48,000 Canadians should be returned to Canada to liberate the hospitals there for the imperial troops wounded in the last stage of the war...The immediate return of wounded soldiers from overseas will find Canada unable to provide accommodations for the men who have so nobly fought in the Empire's cause.⁹⁴

How did London cope with this situation? Throughout much of the war the sick and wounded had been sent to Canada on five hospital ships (MacPhail, 1925, p. 239).⁹⁵ The following account of those who arrived in London appeared in the *Advertiser* on May 3, 1919.⁹⁶

Twenty-four wounded and invalided soldiers, several on crutches, other speechless from shell shock, and still another demented as a result of his terrible experiences, returned at 12:45 o'clock this afternoon via C.P.R...The men (transported to the Military Convalescent Hospital) were on the whole the most seriously

wounded lot that has returned to the city since the outbreak of war. Representatives from the Soldiers' Aid Commission and the Returning Soldiers' Club were at the depot to welcome the men.

After the war large numbers continued to arrive. On December 8, 1918, "the hospital ship *Araguaya*, bringing 801 wounded Canadians, (docked in Halifax) after an exceptionally rough trip of 11 days from Liverpool."⁹⁷ Upon arrival the wounded traveled by train to various destinations throughout the country. When word was received in London that some of the wounded were from the London region and would arrive at the C.P.R. depot, a request went out in the local papers to Londoners with cars to transport the wounded and their relatives to the nearest hospital.

A special train carrying 162 wounded and invalided soldiers will arrive at 10 o'clock at the C.P.R. depot on Thursday. The party is the largest which has returned to the city at any one time since the outbreak of war...there are 36 London men included...Unless a score or more citizens place their motor cars at the disposal of the Soldiers' Aid Commission this week, officers of that organization expect to experience difficulty in obtaining enough automobiles to meet (the needs of the wounded)...Members of the commission are requesting citizens to place cars at their disposal by telephoning the commission offices. They will then notify the car owner when his automobile will be required...⁹⁸

Where would they be taken? As of April 1, 1918, three military hospitals had opened in London: the Military Convalescent Hospital mentioned above, the Military Station Hospital and the Wolseley Barracks Hospital.⁹⁹ Although the location of the latter two is unclear, the Convalescent Hospital was initially located in a building on Ottaway Avenue (now known as South Street)¹⁰⁰ and later became part of the Victoria Hospital complex.¹⁰¹ The military patients who had resided there were subsequently moved to Westminster Hospital, which was constructed between 1918 and 1920 on farm land that had been purchased by the city for this purpose.¹⁰² A substantial proportion of the cost of construction was undertaken by the Ontario Government.¹⁰³

By October 31, 1918 the total capacity of both hospitals was 634 beds (MacPhail, 1925, p. 331 and 332). In addition, patients could be placed in Victoria Hospital (Ward 7), the Byron Sanatorium, and the Speedwell Military Convalescent Hospital outside Guelph, which received extensive coverage in the *London Advertiser*.¹⁰⁴ As a convalescent hospital, Speedwell opened in October, 1917, and remained in operation until November, 1920.¹⁰⁵ During its three years of operation, Speedwell provided not only medical care but also opportunities for recovering soldiers to acquire marketable skills in areas such as furniture construction, iron work, dairy production and farming that would enable them to enter the labor force. Although Speedwell was a bit of a distance from London, the hospital was considered to be within the London district and was readily accessible from London because "the C.P.R. runs through the grounds and a station has been built within five minutes' walk of the hospital." In short, while other

areas of the country might not have been prepared to handle the numbers of wounded that had been anticipated when the war ended, it would seem that this could not be said of London.

Memorials

The last issue to received considerable attention in the London papers was the need to memorialize those who had fought and died during the Great War. The earliest mention of this need appeared in the December 3, 1918 issue of the *London Advertiser*.

“We owe a great deal to the heroes of our country who have gone out and defended us,” said Warden D.A. Graham to the members of the (Middlesex) county council at the first meeting of the December session...A memorial for our fallen heroes, for our men who have given their today for our tomorrow, should be erected...The speaker then mentioned the suggestion of President E.E. Braithwaite of the Western University that the various municipalities make grants to be used in the building of a university.¹⁰⁶

The following year plans were made to implement Braithwaite’s suggestion.

The erection of a fine new science building in connection with the Western University as a war memorial for Middlesex and surrounding counties is the tentative plan that has been proposed...It is anticipated that the grant from this county (Middlesex) alone will be \$100,000. It is quite probable that the university authorities will approach the councils of the 13 counties west of London and including Brant, Norfolk and Gray with a definite proposition at the January meetings. This will enable the councils to include the grant when making up the tax rate for the ensuring year...It is confidently expected that all of the counties will look with favor on the proposition...In this connection it is pointed out that the Western University belongs as much to Middlesex and the surrounding counties as to London. The counties do not hesitate to make appropriations for the local public schools and the high schools and the councilors feel that the grants for the university should be made in the same way. There can be only one university for this section of the province, they say, and that is Western.¹⁰⁷

Although the funds obtained were not used to erect a science building on Western’s campus, they were employed in 1924 to build the 126 foot high Middlesex Memorial Tower on top of University College, which was completed during the previous year. Today the tower is often referred on Western’s website as “arguably the most recognizable symbol of the university. It is unique to Western and in the world.”

The second memorial structure to be erected in London was the War Memorial Children’s Hospital, located at 392 South Street. The initial proposal for the hospital was made by the London Municipal Chapter of the Imperial Order of the Daughters of the Empire and was accepted by the Victoria Hospital Trust in November, 1919. The hospital was built in 1922.

Not to be outdone by these other structures, it is worth mentioning that city itself also elected to erect a building. According to the London City Council Minutes, on November 17th, 1919, (page 235, item 17) Alderman Samuel R. Manness moved “that debentures be issued for

\$100,000 for a Soldiers' Memorial Hall and that a site for same be provided on the Federal Square property (the southeast corner of Dundas and Wellington streets)." On December 6th the motion was reframed in the form of a bylaw to be voted on during the next municipal election.¹⁰⁸ Although the bylaw was strongly endorsed by City Council, the London Chamber of Commerce, the *London Advertiser*, and the *Free Press*, unfortunately, it was defeated by a substantial majority (3,185 voted against while 1,913 voted in favor). Although the reason given by the *Free Press* was that the ballot contained not only this bylaw but 12 others most of which also required substantial sums of money.¹⁰⁹ The members of the local Great War Veterans Association were extremely disheartened at the outcome of this vote.

On a pole in front of the clubrooms of the G.W.V.A. on Queens Avenue...the flag was hung at half-mast by the members of the association for the purpose of indicating to the citizens of London their feelings and what they think of the turning down of the proposition for the building of a Memorial Hall. Inside the building gloom seemed to prevail, for the place was unusually quiet this morning. Around a fireplace a silent group of a dozen or so sat and stared moodily into a flickering fire. It was some time after the reporter entered before anyone spoke, and then: "Well, don't you think it was a rotten shame" said J.W. Cunliffe, secretary of the association, "We didn't think the citizens of London would do it (to us)."¹¹⁰

On a more positive note, however, in 1925 the Church of the Epiphany in South London erected, as a fitting memorial, the Manor and Highland Park Cenotaph at the corner of Briscoe Street and Holborn Avenue, which, no doubt, would have pleased the members of the Great War Veterans Association because of its very personal nature. The unveiling ceremony, attended by more than 2,000 people, was covered by both the *London Free Press* and the *London Advertiser*. At the end of the ceremony, Mayor George A. Wenige congratulated Manor Park for "having erected a memorial especially when the city has not erected a (similar) memorial since the Boer War." He then went on to suggest that members of Manor Park "should move into the city to show them how it is done."¹¹¹ The following words are from the *London Advertiser* written at the time of the memorial's unveiling.

Today there stands at the northeast corner of the Church of the Epiphany in Manor Park, a white marble figure, a soldier in fighting kit, whose quiet eyes scan the nearby homes in the park and far horizon of London. This is the first war memorial figure to be erected in the London district ...There are twelve names on the bronze plaque below the feet of the figure, names of Manor Park men who stayed in France, and for whom the armistice fell on unheeding ears.¹¹²

Unfortunately, in the years that followed the dedication, the statue was vandalized on a number of occasions. To repair the statue in 1984 a funding drive was launched, and with help from the city to modernize the base, a bronze replica shown on the next page was erected on the same site and rededicated in November, 1985. To avoid interfering with the Armistice Day memorial celebration held at the Cenotaph in Victoria Park, each year a similar celebration is held at the Manor Park Cenotaph about one week prior to November 11th.



It is also worth mentioning that on occasion the newspapers would receive information about local residents who had died overseas and had been decorated for bravery. One such letter, received by the *London Advertiser* and written by Sargent Harry Bennett from London, contained the following information.

At least one of the Forest City lads, 823028k Pte Harry Miner, has won the greatest award it is possible for a British soldier to receive, the Victoria Cross. He captured a machine gun after killing the crew, and turned it against another machine gun nest, taking it singlehanded, and falling, mortally wounded, he encouraged those coming behind him to “carry on” to victory. Such are the bare facts of the superhuman feat of the lad who enlisted in London’s Own early in December, 1915.¹¹³

Along with the Victoria Cross, which was only awarded to 40 Canadians in the Great War,¹¹⁴ subsequent reports in Miner's file showed that he was also awarded the Croix de Guerre by the French government. In addition to these medals, he was honoured at a Remembrance Day Banquet held at the Clinton Branch of the Royal Canadian Legion in 1968 and was memorialized by having several buildings named for him. The Royal Canadian Legion, Branch 185, in Blenheim is called the Harry Miner Branch and the South Barracks (building M-209) in Land Force Central Area Training Centre in Meaford is known as the Corporal H.G.B. Miner Barracks. He is also listed on an historic roadside plaque in the Municipality of Chatham-Kent. Because Harry Miner was not actually from London but was from a rural area within the larger London Military District, it is unknown if there are any memorials to him in the city.

Although Harry Miner was not from London, a second recipient of the Victoria Cross did reside in London at least for a short period. Pte. Harry Brown lived at 253 Briscoe Street with his sister, Mrs. Charles Egelton, for six months prior to his enlistment in August 1916. While in London he worked as a munitions maker at the National Brass Company. Because he was from Gananoque originally, in August, 2007, his death was commemorated on a cenotaph in Gananoque and his Victoria Cross was placed on display at the Canadian War Museum in Ottawa. The *Advertiser* provided the following account of his award.

(During the battle of Hill 70, on August 17, 1917), the enemy massed in force and counter-attacked. The situation became very critical, all wires being cut. It was of utmost importance to get word back to headquarters. (Brown, along with one other) soldier, was given a message with orders to deliver it at all costs. The other soldier was killed and Brown had his arm shattered, but continued through the intense barrage until he arrived close to the support lines. There he found an officer, but (Brown) was so spent that he fell down the dugout steps and regained consciousness long enough to hand over the message, saying "important message." He then became unconscious and died in a dressing station a few hours later. His devotion to duty was of the highest possible degree imaginable, and the successful delivery of the message undoubtedly saved the loss of the position for the time and prevented many casualties.¹¹⁵

Finally, there are, of course, a substantial number of memorial tablets in schools and churches throughout the city with names of former residents who perished during the war. Memorials have also been erected in several of London's cemeteries. Woodlawn Cemetery, for example, has over 50 memorial monuments that were erected to honour soldiers who died during the Great War, most of whom were buried overseas, but whose families elected to provide memorials to them here in London. A self-guided walking tour of 14 of the monuments is available at the Cemetery Office on the cemetery grounds.

The Armistice Day Memorial

Arguably, one of the most enduring memorials to those who perished in the Great War is the yearly commemoration of Armistice Day, known today as Remembrance Day (see below). The beginning of

Armistice Day, however, was marked by a somewhat checkered history. The first mention of the need for some type of commemoration on November 11th appeared in the *London Free Press* on November 7, 1919, in the form of a message sent by 1st Viscount Milner, Secretary for the Colonies, to the governor-general. The message began with the following words: "I am commanded by His Majesty the King, to send you for immediate publication the following message, which is addressed to all the peoples of the empire:"

To all my people: Tuesday next, November 11, is the first anniversary of the armistice, which stayed the world-wide carnage of the four preceding years, and marked the victory of right and freedom. I believe that my people in every part of the empire fervently wish to perpetuate the memory of that great deliverance and those who laid down their lives to achieve it.

To afford an opportunity for the universal expression of this feeling it is my desire and hope that at the hour when the armistice came into force, the 11th hour of the eleventh day of the eleventh month, there may be for the brief space of two minutes, a complete suspension of all our normal activities. During that time, except in the rare cases where this might be impracticable, all work, all sound and all locomotion should cease, so that in perfect stillness the thoughts of everyone may be concentrated on reverent remembrance of the glorious dead.¹¹⁶

To comply with the King's request, on November 8th Mayor Charles R. Somerville made the following announcement that also appeared in the *Free Press*.

London will accede to the request of the King that armistice day (November 11) be observed by the cessation of all business for two minutes. The question has not yet been considered in committee, but I will issue a proclamation calling upon the citizens to observe the day in this manner. It is considered that it would not be wise to observe a general holiday in the city. The cessation of business for two minutes will be all that is necessary.¹¹⁷

And so it was that the mayor "acceded" to the King's request. On November 10th the *Free Press* commented on the rather shallow nature of the mayor's proclamation in the following words.

Two minutes out of 1,440 will be devoted tomorrow to silent memory of the heroic dead...This will be the empire's tribute to the men who had that glorious fortune of giving their lives for a great ideal.¹¹⁸

Perhaps also in reaction to this extremely meager memorial service, the next year the mayor received a request from the Central Veterans Council to proclaim a more fitting commemoration of the armistice. The Council proposed a half-day holiday that would entail a "great public celebration" to be held on November 11th.¹¹⁹ Although the London City Council agreed to their request, apparently after giving further thought to this matter, the Veterans Council objected to at least part of their own proposal, namely the need for a half-day holiday. Their objection was based "on the ground that the wage-earning man who is paid by the hour would be the loser and that thousands of needy men would be deprived of money which they might otherwise earn."¹²⁰ Clearly, a compromise solution was needed to satisfy both the Veterans Council's initial request and their subsequent objection. Hence, with the approval of

the Veterans Council and the London City Council it was decided to hold a “monster celebration” on November 11th, but only during the evening hours so as not to disrupt the working day. The following program of events appeared in the *Free Press* on November 11, 1920, to alert Londoners to the planned celebration that would be held that evening.

As part of the program 4,000 citizens, returned soldiers and others, will parade from the armories at 7:30 and will march down Dundas street to Wellington, hence up to Victoria Park and through the park to Wellington street and, following up to Pall Mall will turn east to Waterloo, then down Waterloo to the armories again. Immediately after this the veterans and the school cadets will return to Victoria Park, where the captured German guns will be placed in position...The fireworks display is to be one of the spectacular features of the evening (\$900 worth of fireworks had been purchased). Rooftops along the route of march will be lined with bright-colored torches, throwing a great flare of light on the parade and crowds on the streets below...After the guns are deposited at Victoria Park there will be an impressive program, consisting of silent prayer, with heads bowed for two minutes, singing of patriotic songs, instrumental selections and a short address by the mayor.¹²¹

In addition to this announcement, in the same issue of the paper the *Free Press* also claimed that the Mayor

will move a resolution urging the Government to make Armistice Day a permanent holiday by combining Thanksgiving Day with it. It is expected that the (City Council) will unanimously approve of this. This resolution will be forwarded to the proper authorities for consideration.¹²²

The next day the *Free Press* characterized the previous night’s celebration as “the biggest parade in years.”

Only the spontaneous outburst of joy on November 11, 1918, surpassed the rejoicing with which London last night marked the second anniversary of the signing of the Armistice. Citizens turned out almost to a man to join in the celebration. The whole downtown section was thronged, and scores of impromptu entertainments were staged, in addition to the main one at the armories. Glamor was added to the gaiety by a pyrotechnical display unrivalled in the city’s history. The bright lights of the downtown district were completely eclipsed by bursting rockets, Roman candles and flambeaux.

Promptly at 7:30 p.m. the fireworks display was started at the armories, heralding the commencement of the march...Although the procession was nearly two miles in length, every section of it found its place without difficulty as a result of the careful planning of those in charge of the celebration.¹²³

While it is unknown if the mayor’s resolution was actually forwarded to Parliament, on April 25, 1921 the Honourable H. M. Mowat proposed the following resolution in the House of Commons which, of course, was identical to the resolution suggested by London’s mayor in 1920.

That in the opinion of this House, it is desirable to perpetuate the triumphant conclusion of the Great War by the signing of an armistice on the 11th day of November, 1918, and to such end that Monday in the week in which is November 11th be called Armistice Day, and that the day for a General Thanksgiving to Almighty God be proclaimed for such a day annually.¹²⁴

Mowat then concluded his remarks by stating that: “The object of this motion was to signify the great deliverance from our enemy by establishing Armistice Day as a legal holiday, and to celebrate Thanksgiving Day, which usually comes about same time, on the same day.” On May 11, 1921, the resolution was introduced to the House, read the second time and was referred to committee on May 23rd in the form of Bill 119. What followed in committee was a lengthy and often acrimonious debate that focused not only on the wording of the bill but on other matters such as whether it was to be the day of the week (Monday) or the date of November 11th when the event needed to be celebrated. In either case, Armistice Day had now become combined with the Thanksgiving Day celebration. The final version of the bill which was assented to on June 4, 1921, by His Majesty, George V, contained the following provision.

throughout Canada in each and every year (on) the Monday in the week in which the eleventh day of November shall occur (and)... it shall be a legal holiday (and)...the holiday commonly called Thanksgiving Day shall whenever appointed be proclaimed and observed for and on Armistice Day.

Despite the nature of the Kings proclamation, however, in 1921 Armistice Day in London was held not on Monday but instead on Friday, November 11th, in keeping with the importance of the date, and again on Sunday, November 13th thereby combing the celebration with a normal Thanksgiving Day church service. Hence, in 1921, Armistice Day consisted of a two day holiday.

Friday and Sunday will be Poppy as well as Armistice Day in London. On these two days the third anniversary of the armistice will be celebrated and everyone who was interested in the successful conclusion of the great war is asked to express his sorrow for the dead and his gratitude for peace by wearing the floral emblem of Flanders...Cronyn Memorial has already announced its intention to participate in the Armistice Sunday and is urging its members to wear a poppy that day...it is probable that the majority of pastors in the city will also hold special services...¹²⁵

On Friday “the local hydro station turned out all light switches promptly at 11 a.m. which gave the time signal to the whole city that all Canada was engaged in prayer. The London Street Railway Company cars were halted during the period of silence...That night there was a torch-light procession from the armories down Dundas street, up Richmond to Victoria.” Although the *Free Press* claimed that “throughout Canada the day was observed as a holy day rather than a holiday” whether this was truly the case in London is open to question since the *Free Press* also claimed that “as it is fitting, the day will close in that mardi-gras spirit which characterized the first armistice day.”¹²⁶

This practice of combing Armistice Day with Thanksgiving Day, as a two day celebration at least in London, continued for a number of years. On Saturday, November 8, 1930, the *Free Press* announced that “Solemn services of Thanksgiving tinged with sadness awakened by another Armistice Day will be held in all local churches tomorrow when the attention of the congregations will be directed towards progress made in religion and world peace during the past year.”¹²⁷ The significance of the Sunday celebration was also expressed in an editorial that

appeared in the *Free Press* on Monday, November 10th: “Time slips by so quickly that it is hard to realize that it is now 16 years since the Great War started and 12 years since Armistice Day...There is every reason on this joint Thanksgiving Day and Armistice Day for us in Canada to give thanks.”¹²⁸ As summarized below, the *Free Press* then itemized the events that took place that Sunday (November 9) as well as the events that happened on Tuesday, November 11th.

...at *St. Paul's* Cathedral during the morning (of November 9) the annual garrison church parade, in which all military units in the city took part, was held (at the Metropolitan United Church)... trumpeters of the R.C.R. band sounded the Last Post and the Reveille...Following the church service thousands of Londoners who lined the route of the parade witnessed one of the greatest military spectacles seen in London since the war as all of the garrison units and several hundred ex-service men paraded for the march past at the Central Collegiate Institute.¹²⁹

(On November 11th) A special ceremony was held at Wolseley Barracks, where the Royal Canadian Regiment paraded in the training square...At 11 o'clock a round of cannon fire announced the two minutes of silence and another cannon shot and reveille marked the terminating of the period of silence...At the city hall the flag was lowered as all employees stood in silent tribute. At the post office and customhouse the Armistice Day was observed when all workers stood at attention.¹³⁰

The 1921 act which served to combine both observances was finally ended by a further act of Parliament in 1931. The reason given for this further act, as stated in the *Free Press*, was the mounting objection to the practice of combining the two since “both were distinctive ways of giving thanks; one for the end of hostilities and the other for bountiful crops and other blessings of Providence.”¹³¹ Thus with this new legislation in mind, Thanksgiving Day in 1931 was celebrated on Sunday, October 12. “The churches were filled with symbols of blessings of the season. Grains, fruits and vegetables, beautiful representations of the bountiful harvest, hung on the walls.”¹³² Armistice Day, on the other hand, referred to for the first time as Remembrance Day, was to be celebrated on November 11th, which in 1931, fell on a Wednesday.

By legislation of the last session of Parliament, the 11th of November was fixed as a public holiday and described as Remembrance Day. There is no difference between holidays as set out in the Interpretation Act. Remembrance Day stands in the same position as the 1st of July, Labor Day, Christmas Day or New Year's Day...the day will be observed by parades of war veterans and militia, solemn silence and similar ceremonies and it is the intention that Remembrance Day shall be observed in the same way that Armistice Day has been observed in the past.¹³³

Remembrance Day and the Victoria Park Cenotaph

With the change from Armistice Day to Remembrance Day in 1931 the one-day service to honour London's local veterans began to resemble the service held in London, England. Because the focus of that service was on the cenotaph in Whitehall, a similar though temporary cenotaph was erected here in London. “With the aid of the Public Utilities Commission,

London's war veterans set to work to build their cenotaph. They made it look as nearly as possible like the cenotaph in Old London."¹³⁴ Built to scale out of wood and half the size of the Whitehall cenotaph, it was 17 feet high and assembled in the London Armouries.

On November 11, 1931, the wooden cenotaph was placed in the middle of Dundas Street next to the London Street Railway tracks opposite the Victoria Building and the Bell Telephone Building.¹³⁵ With space allotted for ex-service men, widows and mothers, invited guests, massed bands, etc. the ceremony on November 11th was "witnessed by a crowd estimated at more than 15,000 people."

With the boom of the gun in Victoria Park at 11 o'clock a hush fell over the assembled crowd and men stood with heads bared in reverenced silence remembering their comrades who gave their lives for the sake of peace...No addresses were given, silence and a deep sense of reverence being the tribute paid by the assembled throng...The cenotaph was banked by wreaths placed on behalf of official bodies, various ex-service men's associations and many private individuals...The bugle band of the Fusillers sounded the "Last Post" and "Reveille." Pipers of the Canadian Engineers played the Lament as they circled around in front of the cenotaph.¹³⁶



Following the ceremony the cenotaph was returned to the armouries, stored, and used again in 1932 and 1933. Because the wooden cenotaph was only temporary, in an editorial that

appeared the day after the 1931 ceremony, the *Free Press* called upon the city to consider the need to erect a more permanent memorial.

...this service should impress upon London citizens the necessity of erecting in this city, at an early date, a suitable cenotaph. London, the capital of Western Ontario, the military centre of this peninsula and the place where so many thousands of troops trained for overseas, is almost the only city in Ontario without a suitable memorial.¹³⁷

Indeed, as early as 1928 the local chapter of the Independent Order of Daughters of the Empire initiated a movement to erect a permanent cenotaph in London and over the years had raised more than \$4,000 for such a monument.¹³⁸ A site at the south-east corner of Victoria Park was finally selected in 1934 and it was decided that the cenotaph would be built of limestone from the Queenston Quarries in Niagara Falls.¹³⁹ “Using workmen employed under the city relief program,”¹⁴⁰ excavation of the site started on September 24th with the work to be completed by November 3rd. The monument was to be 24 feet high and “modelled along the same lines as the cenotaph at Whitehall” with the overall cost to be around \$8,400.¹⁴¹

On November 10, 1934, the completed monument draped on both sides with the Union Jack, was unveiled by Mrs. J.D. Detwiler, regent of the municipal chapter of the IODE.

Thousands were present to witness the brief but impressive ceremony and to again pay their tribute to those whom the new monument is dedicated...This was followed by the brief dedication service and prayer...During the singing of the anthem the foot of the cenotaph was banked by wreaths laid by representatives of the many veterans bodies, other organizations and citizens who wished to add the symbol of the tribute. Col, the Rev. William Beattie then pronounced the benediction and with the singing of “God Save the King” the first service at London’s cenotaph was concluded.¹⁴²

Although it had been rumored that the Anti-War League of London had planned a protest demonstration, the League dismissed the rumor and instead also laid a wreath to honour those who died.¹⁴³

The next day, after a lengthy parade from the armouries that began at 10:00 a.m., the *Free Press* reported that about 2,000 ex-service men gathered at the Victoria Park Cenotaph for the first official November 11th service. The following benediction was given by the mayor.

This cenotaph has been dedicated to the memory of those brave men who left all that was dear to them, endured the intense hardships of war and in self-sacrifice died that others might live in freedom. Let their names be not forgotten by all that follow here.¹⁴⁴

Finally, and in keeping with the theme of the benediction, the *Free Press* published an extremely fitting honour role, reproduced on the following page, with the names of all the men and the four nursing sisters from London who died during the Great War.¹⁴⁵



THE GLORIOUS DEAD

LONDON'S ROLL OF HONOUR

From sources believed to be reliable, The Free Press has compiled this roll of heroic Londoners who died in the service of the Empire, either in action, from wounds, or other causes incidental to the Great War.

"AT THE GOING DOWN OF THE SUN, AND IN THE MORNING WE WILL REMEMBER THEM."

In Flanders Fields

In Flanders fields the poppies blow
Between the crosses, row on row,
That mark our place; and in the sky
The larks, still bravely singing, fly,
Scarce heard amidst the guns below.

We're the dead. Short days ago
We lived, felt dawn, saw sunset glow,
Loved and were loved, and now we lie
In Flanders fields.

Take up our quarrel with the foe!
To you from falling hands we throw
The torch; and yours to hold it high;
If ye break faith with us who die,
We shall not sleep, tho' poppies grow
In Flanders fields.

By Lt.-Col. John McCrae



- Abbott, W. E.
- Ager, W.
- Adams, J. Douglas
- Aikens, J. Wilson
- Aitken, Arthur B.
- Aitken, Herman A.
- Albright, Selma
- Alderton, A. C.
- Alex, James R.
- Anderson, H.
- Anderson, Harry
- Anderson, James
- Anderson, J. A.
- Ansatt, John
- Anzer, Richard H.
- Aspinan, T. H.
- Aspley, W. H.
- Auer, William L.
- Atkinson, Elison P.
- Avey, Howard
- Bailey, Arthur
- Baker, Ernest
- Baker, William
- Balch, W. C.
- Balkwin, Dorothy
- Balkwin, Mary
- Balkwin, William
- Bartley, James
- Bartlett, U. E.
- Bayley, Carl
- Beattie, Frank
- Beattie, William
- Becher, Archie V.
- Becher, H. Campbell
- Belcher, Cecil Russell
- Belcher, R. W.
- Bennett, Francis C.
- Bignar, John
- Billington, S. J.
- Bisbee, Arthur W.
- Boyer, William P.
- Boyd, Henry C.
- Bradbrook, Fred
- Brady, William
- Branton, Secretary
- Briggs, F. W.
- Briggs, L. G.
- Brimley, John H.
- Brooks, C. W.
- Brown, C. S.
- Brown, Arthur K.
- Bryant, Robert L.
- Buckley, Ernest
- Burden, Gordon C.
- Burdett, Henry F.
- Burdett, Thomas
- Burgess, E. F.
- Burnard, Wesley
- Burnett, Harry C.
- Buss, Joseph C.
- Burroughs, Cecil
- Burrows, R. C.
- Burns, Ira
- Byrnes, Carl A.
- Cadman, Fred
- Calhoun, William
- Callan, Frank
- Campbell, Archie
- Campbell, Roy O.
- Carfax, Fred
- Carfax, Robert
- Carline, James
- Carroll, J. W.
- Carson, A. J.
- Cavehill, Percy C.
- Chadwick, Clifford F.
- Chivas, Edwin J.
- Chivas, W. J.
- Clark, Jack
- Clare, Archie
- Clark, Henry
- Clark, Paul
- Clark, Robert
- Clarkson, Arthur
- Clemance, Cyril L.
- Cogan, A.
- Colman, E.
- Colling, Gladstone
- Collins, George F.
- Collyer, C. H.
- Cook, Donald
- Cook, Ernest
- Cookley, Badger
- Cosier, James R.
- Cottam, Bart
- Courtney, S. H.
- Coward, Alex.
- Crowley, Charles
- Crowley, Robert A. C.
- Curnan, James
- Curry, Roy B.
- Cushman, Russell
- Daniel, Charles
- Danz, Eym. F. M.
- Darling, Albert
- Davies, William G.
- Davis, L. C.
- Daw, Claude
- Dawson, W.
- Dean, William
- Deaton, E.
- Dent, Fred
- Dent, Percy
- Diggle, John
- Dixon, Walter
- Doboy, William B.
- Douglass, E. R.
- Dowdell, Albert
- Drake, John H.
- Draper, W.
- Duan, W. J.
- Durlin, Oliver W.
- Dust, A. F.
- Egerton, A. E.
- Eggett, Charles
- Elder, John
- Elliott, Frank
- Ellis, James H.
- Emery, R. F.
- Evans, Jack
- Evans, James
- Fagan, Albert Golden
- Faulkner, John A.
- Faulkner, William H.
- Fench, Archibald
- Fennie, Walter G.
- Fisher, Ella W.
- Fitzgerald, Chester
- Fleming, G. S. R.
- Ford, Edwin
- Foster, Alex.
- Fox, Harold
- Fox, Melfort
- Fraser, Donald
- Fraser, J. Bruce
- Gale, Harry
- Garden, Alex.
- Larrett, A. J.
- Castrett, D. G. K.
- Gates, Alan
- Geary, Oliver B.
- Gibbs, E. A.
- Gibby, H.
- Gleed, Charles E.
- Gleed, George
- Golby, Gordon Earl
- Golcher, Gilbert
- Gullion, Bernard W.
- Gough, W. H.
- Goyer, Francis Joseph
- Graban, Charles P.
- Grant, George
- Gray, D.
- Gray, H. S.
- Gray, James Roy
- Gay, Harold M.
- Goodlow, W. I.
- Guilford, Samuel
- Gulfoyle, T. R.
- Guzan, Arthur
- Hain, James W. W.
- Haldane, Thomas
- Hall, Albert F.
- Haldan, Ernest W.
- Han, Frank E.
- Hardington, Douglas
- Harrison, Douglas
- Hastings, J. H.
- Hastings, William C.
- Hawcock, Harry H.
- Harding, John
- Harris, Nelson
- Harrison, Gustav
- Hastings, Charles
- Hastings, Hugh
- Cookley, Badger
- Healey, Mark
- Hobbin, William
- Henderson, John R.
- Hicks, Oswald
- Hill, Harry G.
- Hobbs, J. H.
- Hollbrook, Henry L.
- Holland, Mark
- Holman, Joseph
- Howell, Percy V. G.
- Howlett, W. G.
- Hughes, D. W.
- Hobert, Alfred E.
- Hulse, Archibald
- Hunt, Peter
- Huske, William J.
- Hutchison, A. W.
- Hutchins, Archie
- Hingworth, Henry
- Inlay, Gilbert
- Irvine, Lorne A. H.
- Isaac, Harold
- Ivey, Helen
- Jackson, Fred
- Jackie, Reynolds
- Jacobs, Archie L.
- James, Elmer Oler
- Jarvis, L. C.
- Jepkin, John Wesley
- Jenkins, Wesley James
- Jensen, C. A.
- Jepson, Russell W.
- Johnson, Andrew
- Johnson, Chester G.
- Johnson, Samuel Beaty
- Jolly, Albert
- Jones, C. F.
- Jones, William A.
- Kelland, Fred J.
- Kennel, Cyril
- Kennedy, Norman
- Kessey, Fred H.
- Kent, Victor
- Kerry, W. O.
- Kingsmill, Thomas Fraser
- Kirk, Andrew O.
- Kirkpatrick, Johnson D.
- Knight, George E.
- Lacey, W. C.
- Langford, Everett A.
- Langridge, William H.
- Lawson, Wilfrid E.
- Legg, A. I.
- Leicester, J. C.
- Leedace, E. Woodman
- Leeward, Fred W.
- Levie, Richard
- Levie, William Huber
- Liley, Albert E.
- Lisfield, Albert E.
- Lismonne, Philip R.
- Livstone, Fred
- Livington, Spencer
- Loney, Joseph
- Long, Lawrence G.
- Loxton, Gilbert
- Loxon, William E.
- Luff, Thomas
- Lumley, Bart
- McAndrew, Thomas
- McCall, James
- McClelland, Fred
- McCann, Harold Dickson
- McCann, James C.
- McCarthy, Callaghan
- McCurdie, R. J.
- McConnochie, R. J.
- McCreedy, Bernard L.
- McDonald, Katherine
- McEwan, C. F.
- McGeary, Charles
- McIntosh, Gordon Cowan
- McKay, Edward
- McKay, Hugh
- McKeaney, Dan J.
- McLeod, D. G.
- McLennan, Attila A.
- McLennan, William
- McMurphy, Archie
- McMurphy, D.
- McNeil, Donald
- McArthur, James
- Manston, Leonard F.
- Marston, George
- Martin, George
- Martin, Herbert
- Martin, James
- Martin, Joseph Francis
- Mason, H. E.
- Mason, Martin
- Matheson, Clifford
- Mathews, J. R.
- May, Percy A.
- Mayer, Harold E.
- Meach, Horace
- Mellet, Henrietta
- Menzel, Verne
- Messner, J. C.
- Messner, Robert H.
- Merkley, W. Ernest
- Matheson, Arthur P.
- Meyers, Tobias J.
- Milford, Taylor
- Mills, Harry M.
- Mitchell, Walter
- Moak, George
- Moore, E. T. R.
- Moore, William R.
- Moorehead, Edmund C.
- Morgan, Herbert
- Morkin, Gordon
- Morley, E. Lionel
- Morrison, William
- Morse, Edwin H.
- Morton, G.
- Moss, Charles
- Mouat, George Abner
- Mowat, Robert
- Moyer, J. Howard
- Murray, Thomas
- Nanfau, Arthur
- Nesbit, W. T.
- Neely, W. T.
- Nelson, William
- Newell, Hubert Wesley
- Nickle, Frank
- Noble, George
- Norris, R. W.
- Nurse, William J.
- O'Connell, Charles W.
- O'Hara, Joseph M. G.
- On, Lloyd
- Page, John T.
- Parler, Fred
- Parsons, F. V.
- Parsons, W. A.
- Patterson, Andrew
- Patterson, George B.
- Payne, A. E.
- Payne, Jack
- Peacock, M. A.
- Pennis, Llewellyn M.
- Pennington, George
- Pepper, Fred
- Perkins, A. E.
- Phillips, Allan
- Piper, James W.
- Piper, Thomas J.
- Player, Clifford
- Porter, F. A.
- Prencott, Alfred C.
- Price, James
- Rackham, William
- Raine, A. H.
- Rankin, Donald H.
- Rawlings, Jack
- Raymond, Earl
- Raymond, Frederick C.
- Raymond, George
- Reidley, Arthur
- Reidman, James J.
- Reidman, George
- Reed, Roydon A.
- Reid, Frank Nixon
- Reidman, Alfred
- Reidman, Arthur David
- Reidman, Fred
- Reidman, Fred
- Reidman, Thomas Charles
- Reid, George W.
- Ross, Lorne
- Rutherford, Arwell
- Reyn, John
- Sik, Charles
- Saborn, W. Reginald
- Saat, William
- Savile, John
- Savin, W. T.
- Scatchard, John L.
- Scott, J. Massimo
- Selton, Fred C.
- Show, John
- Shellock, John
- Shellock, Thomas M.
- Shelton, Richard S.
- Shore, Albert
- Shelby, Lawrence R.
- Skate, B.
- Small, Gordon
- Small, Stanley
- Smith, Clarence H.
- Smith, F. B.
- Smith, Fred
- Smith, Fred A.
- Smith, Herbert H.
- Smith, Russell
- Smith, William H.
- Stallan, Edward
- Stowden, George
- Stoneriver, Kenneth
- Sparks, William
- Spence, William
- Spicknell, Victor E.
- Spies, W. M.
- Spring, Edward
- Sproule, Ernest
- Starr, Gordon
- Stephen, James
- Stophenson, L. L.
- Stewart, L. R.
- Stewart, Peter
- Stratley, George H.
- Stuart, Charles
- Sullivan, Henry
- Sullivan, Thomas
- Sutton, Jas. W.
- Suzuki, F. C.
- Swan, James
- Talbot, Frank
- Taylor, Howard
- Taylor, Charles Norman
- Taylor, Gerald I.
- Taylor, Lecky
- Taylor, F. T.
- Telford, Harry
- Temple, R. H.
- Thompson, Richard
- Thorne, Charles W.
- Tidmore, Arthur W.
- Tinney, Wilfrid
- Tinney, William J.
- Tilson, George Edwin
- Tonnett, Percy
- Torrey, Fred
- Tosay, Leonard T.
- Turner, E.
- Turner, Edward
- Underwood, W. G.
- Vickers, Remington G.
- Vann, Victor W.
- Wadje, Ernest
- Walding, C. S.
- Walker, Robert C.
- Walpole, D.
- Wald, Joseph
- Wallers, J. J.
- Ward, Charles
- Ward, Henry C.
- Warren, Ian
- Warren, John W.
- Watrick, W. T.
- Webb, Bob
- Whetten, Richard
- Whitely, Joseph
- White, George
- White, Harold
- White, Gordon A.
- White, Clarence
- White, Edwin G.
- Whitton, James
- Woodford, R. G.
- Woodley, Benjamin
- Wray, Wards
- Wright, George Edwin
- Wyldoff, Eric
- Yeo, Lorne
- York, William Henry
- Zigler, Eric